



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

1/1

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,450	03/04/2002	Thomas A. Chodacki	57,097 (72011)	3289
21874	7590	05/04/2005		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 55874			CAMPBELL, THOR S	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/090,450	CHODACKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thor S. Campbell	3742

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2005.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 1-10,20-28 and 31-33 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 11-19 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 March 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donnelly et al (US 4,925,386) in view of Donnelly et al (U56521869).

The claims differ from Donnelly et al (US 4,925,386) in calling for a power source voltage measuring device coupled to the control device to determine the full-on time period based on the measured voltage. Providing an igniter control system that measures power source voltage to determine the corresponding igniter on-time is conventional and well known in the art as evidenced by Donnelly et al (U56521869) noting col. 3, line 45 - col. 4, line 4. In Donnelly et al (U56521869), a microprocessor M1 measures line voltage (col. 3, lines 50-52) and selects a switching sequence depending on the voltage value from a look-up table. Specifically, the igniter on-time increases as the voltage level decreases. Col. 4, lines 61-69. In view of Donnelly et al (U56521869), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a microprocessor that selects an appropriate on-time responsive to measured power source voltage in Donnelly et al (US 4,925,386) so that the igniter is adequately warmed up and is energized according to the actual power source voltage level. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donnelly et al (US 4,925,386) in view of Donnelly et al (U56521869) and further in view of Geary (US 4,935,606). The claims differ from the previously cited prior

Art Unit: 3742

art in calling for duty cycling in half-wave cycle increments. But duty cycling in half-wave increments for power reduction is well known in the art as evidenced by Geary (US 4,935,606) who provides a half-wave rectifier that duty cycles the second voltage in col. 6, lines 14-16. In view of Geary (US 4,935,606), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to duty cycle in half-wave increments in the previously described apparatus to automatically reduce power consumption.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10, 20-28, and 31-33 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thor S. Campbell whose telephone number is 571-272-4776. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 5:30AM-2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TSC

THOR S. CAMPBELL
THOR S. CAMPBELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER